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The Knowledge Revolution

Ability to create, access and use knowledge is becoming fundamental determinant of global competitiveness

Six key elements of “Knowledge Revolution”

- Increased codification of knowledge and development of new technologies
- Closer links with science base/increased rate of innovation/shorter product life cycles
- Increased importance of education & up-skilling of labor force, and life-long learning
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- Investment in Intangibles (R&D, education, software) greater than Investments in Fixed Capital in OECD

- Innovation and productivity increase more important in competitiveness & GDP growth

- Increased Globalization and Competition
  - Trade/GDP from 38% in 1990 to 52% in 1999
  - Value added by TNCs 27% of global GDP

- Bottom Line: Constant Change and Competition Implies Need for Constant Restructuring and Upgrading
Implications for EU Accession Countries

- Accession means entering a highly competitive, knowledge-intensive regional and global market
- Need to develop strategies to use existing and new knowledge to
  - Improve performance in traditional sectors
  - Exploit opportunities for leapfrogging
  - Develop competitive new sectors
- Need to
  - Learn from other countries
  - Develop strategies for own situation and current context
Strategy of Using Knowledge for Development

- Strategy not just about high tech or information and communication tech.
- Is about making effective use of knowledge across economy and society.
- Requires better interaction between policies, institutions, technology, people, and government.
- Is about getting knowledge to mothers, farmers, workers, enterprises, and government to improve lives.
Framework for Using K4D:

Four Key Functional Areas

- Economic incentive and institutional regime that provides incentives for the efficient use of existing and new knowledge and the flourishing of entrepreneurship
- Educated, creative and skilled people
- Dynamic information infrastructure
- Effective national innovation system
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Key Elements of Economic Incentive Regime for K4D

- Competitive environment as stimulus for improved performance
  - Trade policy
  - Competition policy
  - Intellectual property
- Financial system that mobilizes and allocates capital to its most productive uses
- Flexible labor markets including support for up-skilling
- Effective safety nets to facilitate adjustment to constant restructuring
- [Macro stability remains a fundamental condition]
Key Elements of Institutional Regime

- Rule of Law
- Regulatory Framework
- Political Stability
- Government Effectiveness
- Control of Corruption
- Voice and Accountability
- Press Freedom
Developing Human Resources for the Knowledge Economy

- Educated and skilled human resources = key to success in the KBE
- Basic education critical, but because of KR now also need higher education
- Quality and nature of education need to be improved
- Also need effective systems for life-long learning beyond what is learned in formal education
Key Issues in Human Resources

- Access to different levels of education
- Gender balance
- Quality of educational content (core technical & social skills, relevance, creativity)
- Balance among different levels of education
- Financing & public and private roles
- Life-long learning opportunities
- Role of the ministry of education and its relations with labor, market, and economy
Ensuring a Dynamic Information Infrastructure

A dynamic information infrastructure is critical to take advantage of the knowledge and information revolution.

Not just telephone infrastructure, but Internet and the use of ICT throughout economy.

Not just the hardware, but the content, applications, and skills to use effectively.
Key Issues in the Information Infrastructure

- Communications infrastructure
  - Radio, TV, newspapers, other means
  - Fixed and mobile phone lines
  - Internet

- Telecom structure issues
  - Price of services, including domestic vs. international
  - Ease of access
  - Degree of competition and openness to FDI

- Digital Divide--Universal access
Use of Information Infrastructure

- Communications
- E-govt, E-business
- Delivery of education, health
- Internet issues
  - Access
  - Content and language
  - Regulatory and Legal Issues
- Software
- Skills to use
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Elements of the National Innovation System

- **Tapping into Global Knowledge**
  - Trade, foreign investment, tech transfer,
  - Technical journals, travel, internet, conferences

- **Creating and adapting knowledge**
  - Public vs private R&D; Basic vs applied R&D
  - From specialized research institutions to production

- **Disseminating Knowledge**
  - Growth of more efficient enterprises
  - Suppliers of equipment, technical services and info
  - Extension services: agricultural

- **Using knowledge**
  - Depends on cost and benefits
  - Depends on education, skills, complementary inputs
  - Depends on economic and institutional regime
Managing the Innovation System

- Link domestic to fast global knowledge system
  - Encourage joint public and private research with foreign countries/firms
  - Promote strategic alliances, joint ventures, purchase of foreign technology assets.
- Improve efficiency/relevance of existing R&D government programs; encourage private effort
- Clearly justify rationale for public intervention
- Facilitate interaction among firms, universities, and R&D institutions
KAM Methodology

- KAM: 66 structural/qualitative variables to benchmark performance on 4 pillars
- Variables normalized from 0 (worst) to 10 (best) for 100 countries
- www1.worldbank.org/gdln/kam.htm
- Basic scorecard for 14 variables at two points in time, 1995 and 2000
- Aggregate knowledge economy (KE), and knowledge and information (KI) indices
Components of KE index: EU Accession Group

EC Accession Countries

ECON:
- Tariff & Non-Tariff
- Property Rights
- Regulation

INN:
- Researchers in R&D
- Trade as % of GDP
- Scientific articles

ICT:
- Telephone lines
- Computers
- Internet hosts

EDU:
- Adult literacy
- Secondary Enrollment
- Tertiary Enrollment
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Strong Correlation between GDP/capita and KE Index

Knowledge Economy

$y = 268.99x^{2.1864}$

$R^2 = 0.8789$
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KEI EC Accession vs World
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Overall have improved most on information infrastructure and economic and institutional regime

Smaller progress on innovation and education

But strong variance across countries, with differing performance among components

Most variance in economic and institutional regime
EC Accession Economic Incentive Regime

Country Accession Economic Incentive Regime

- Finland
- Latvia
- Slovenia
- Slovakia
- Poland
- Hungary
- Latvia
- EAP
- Russia
- Bulgaria
- Romania
- MNA
- AFR
- USA
- Estonia
- Czech Rep

Most Recent

1995
EC Accession Innovation
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Where are ECA EU-Accession Countries on Enrollments?

Gross enrollment rates, 1997

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Secondary</th>
<th>Tertiary</th>
<th>Expected Years of Education</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bulgaria</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estonia</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latvia</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithuania</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romania</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovakia</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovenia</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EU Accession</strong></td>
<td><strong>90</strong></td>
<td><strong>30</strong></td>
<td><strong>12.4</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Aveage)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ECA</strong></td>
<td><strong>86</strong></td>
<td><strong>32</strong></td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>High Income</strong></td>
<td><strong>106</strong></td>
<td><strong>62</strong></td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Europe EMU</strong></td>
<td><strong>108</strong></td>
<td><strong>49</strong></td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Percentage of 16-65 Year Olds who Test at Low Literacy Levels, 1994-98

Source: Based on data from table 2.2, OECD (2000).
Challenges Ahead

- Very dynamic situation with moving goalposts, EC itself still behind leaders
- Aggregate performance behind EC (although some accession countries near EC average, but wide variance)
- ICT shows greatest improvement, but still needs significant liberalization
- Innovation area furthest behind leaders
- Education shows the most backsliding, but most critical for longer run; requires significant reform of content for KE
The Way Forward

- Critical to go from analysis of problems to concrete initiatives to improve KE performance
- Successful further reform requires creating stakeholder awareness
- Consultation and discussion necessary to create stakeholder ownership
- Monitorable goals and constant evaluation key to improving performance
- Rapid adjustment needed in light of experience and changing circumstances