

## **Benefit-Cost Appraisals of Export Processing Zones: A Survey of the Literature**

*Kankesu Jayanthakumaran\**

*This article surveys research on the performance of Export Processing Zones (EPZs) using a benefit-cost analytical framework. Results suggest that zones in South Korea, Malaysia, Sri Lanka, China and Indonesia are economically efficient and generate returns well above estimated opportunity costs. On the other hand, the heavy infrastructure costs involved in setting up the zone in the Philippines resulted in a negative net present value. The zones have been an important source of employment in all cases and have promoted local entrepreneurs in some. However, as industrial development proceeds, the gap between the market and opportunity costs of labour narrows and the interest in EPZs tends to disappear. It may hold only if the zones generate private profit to domestic shareholders.*

The literature on Export Processing Zones (EPZs) comprises descriptive, theoretical and benefit-cost analysis. Descriptive studies carried out by international organisations and academics focus on the conceptualisation, objectives, incentives, developmental effects and performance of EPZs. Although most of these studies concern net benefits to the host economy, they lack appropriate analytical frameworks (Warr, 1989: 66). Theoretical studies focus on factor movements and use the Heckscher-Ohlin (H-O) framework. The standard H-O model assumes that factors of production are immobile. These studies fail to capture the international mobility of capital by assuming implicitly that the capital used in the EPZ is domestic capital in fixed supply which has moved from the host economy. Conventional benefit-cost analysis captures the economic welfare of citizens of the host country by incorporating the benefits and costs for the entire lifetime of the project. The advocates of this framework believe the benefits and costs can be identified conceptually and quantified empirically.

This article is a comprehensive survey of the literature on the EPZs, in Malaysia, Indonesia, South Korea, Sri Lanka, China and the Philippines, that has used a benefit-cost analytical framework. The background of EPZs is discussed in the following section. Sections three and four analyse the benefit-cost framework and shadow price estimates. Section five reports on the economic performance of selected Asian countries. Section six surveys the results of the benefit-cost analysis. The final section summarises the conclusions.

---

\* Department of Economics, University of Wollongong, New South Wales, Australia. The author would like to thank Don Lewis for helpful comments and Robert Hood for editorial assistance.

## Background

Terms such as Export Processing Zones (EPZ), Free Trade Zones (FTZ), Special Economic Zones (SEZ) and Export Processing Factories (EPF) refer to similar concepts with variations determined by policy prescriptions and objectives (Madani, 1999: 10-20). Given the fact that EPZ is the most commonly used term, this article uses EPZ interchangeably with the others. EPZs are a recent phenomenon which allows for the creation of an 'enclave', isolated from the domestic economy, within which export-oriented manufacturing activities can freely operate without state interference. Within the zones, economic and foreign trade activities are freed from controls. It may be convenient for developing countries to invite foreign investors to undertake manufacturing activities without subjecting the entire economy to a liberalised and deregulated system. Foreign investors in the zones are given favoured treatment with respect to taxation, infrastructure, import controls and industrial regulations. In return, investors are expected to process all intermediate imports within the zone and to export without adversely affecting the domestic economy.

Zones have objectives such as promoting linkages with domestic economies, encouraging technology transfer and promoting new industrialisation strategies. By definition, EPZs are not eligible to produce any form of forward linkages, but backward linkages are not ruled out. The greater the isolation from the domestic economy, the fewer will be the backward linkages. The objectives vary among zones, and over time, depending on the needs of the host country. For example, zones in Thailand have the additional aim of decentralising industries from Bangkok to overcome problems of congestion and pollution. Most EPZs engage in labour-intensive light manufacturing such as garment production, the assembly of light electrical goods and electronics. Industries can easily be relocated, as production technologies are standardised with low-skilled workers. This is known as footloose manufacturing.

The role assigned to EPZs differs considerably from country to country. In Singapore, the EPZs were originally meant as part of a package intended to attract investment to a strategically located economy already free of import/export regulations. Economies in transition, for example South Korea, Malaysia and Thailand, initiated EPZs as part of a shift in policy from an inward to an outward orientation. In these countries EPZs represent just one of the steps taken towards becoming more efficiently integrated into the world economy. By efficiently integrating with the domestic economy, EPZs in South Korea evolved to the stage where they were no longer enclaves. Masan in South Korea facilitated the development of surrounding regions. The Philippines and Indonesia represent inward-oriented countries where the EPZs were introduced to create at least some areas free from the distortions still affecting the rest of the economy. China initiated its open-door policy and ongoing economic reforms by introducing Special Economic Zones (SEZ) in 1979. Initially, China promoted investment in a few carefully selected areas without promoting linkages with its domestic economy. The level of infrastructure development and the level of involvement of foreign investors attracted the further development of SEZs.<sup>1</sup>

---

1. SEZs were expanded to include 14 coastal cities (1984), three delta areas (1985) and all of Hainan Island (1988). At the policy level, China promoted linkages between SEZs and firms from inland, and sectors such as agriculture, services and tourism.

EPZs combine the international mobility of capital with domestic workers and export-traded goods. Firms located in the zone transfer capital and semi-processed goods to countries where they can earn a rate of return greater than in the home country. Manufacturing activities within the zones often involve traded intermediate inputs, capital and workers. This is in contrast to international trade theory which focuses on final goods and fails to capture the international mobility of footloose activities. Warr (1989: 66-8) related EPZ activities to Vernon's (1966) 'product life cycle' process, stating that there occurred a migration of newly developed manufacturing processes from developed to developing countries as international competition targeted the unit value-added generated by these processes. In this process, developed countries used scarce capital goods efficiently. Later the manufacturing process shifted to developing countries to offset the growing differences in labour cost between developing and developed countries.

Johansson (1994: 394-5) believed that neoclassical models failed to consider the spillovers from foreign direct investment (FDI) within EPZs, and advocated new growth theory literature. According to him, the enclave nature of EPZs and the low-skilled production process do not promote technology transfers and associated externalities. However, EPZs do promote the role of externalities such as learning-by-doing and on-the-job accumulation of human capital. New growth theory focuses on three issues that have often been neglected in the neoclassical literature. First, domestic firms lack needed technical, marketing and managerial know-how, and FDI within the zones fills this gap. Second, domestic firms seldom have access to international distribution channels and need support from international or joint-venture companies. Finally, entry into international markets would be difficult without access to established foreign firms with wide international business dealings. Any EPZ which incorporates the above factors may be beneficial to a country because of spillovers and their catalytic impact.

In the light of new growth theory, Johansson and Nilsson (1997: 2121-3) tested the catalytic effect of EPZs on ten countries and found that the export-generating effect of the Malaysian EPZs was large, indicating the presence of a catalytic effect. Foreign affiliates attracted to the EPZs stimulated local firms to begin to export by showing them how to produce, market and distribute manufactured goods internationally. The authors failed to find similar catalytic effects in the other countries.<sup>2</sup>

## **Benefit-cost framework**

A few empirical studies attempt to quantify the benefits and costs of EPZs within the framework of an 'enclave model' and use conventional benefit-cost analysis to do so. A general understanding of this approach indicates that EPZs generate only a limited backward linkage to the host country's economy. Forward linkages are dubious. Warr (1989) has specified an 'enclave model' which provides a framework to identify the benefits and costs to the citizens of the host country (Figure 1).


The flow of goods and services and the financial flows between the rest of the world and EPZs are irrelevant in evaluating the welfare impact on the host country

---

2. Johansson and Nilsson included the following countries in their analysis: Dominican Republic, Egypt, Hong Kong, Malaysia, Mauritius, the Philippines, Singapore, South Korea, Sri Lanka and Tunisia. EPZs played a minor role in South Korea relative to the overall economy.

citizen. These transfers are taking place within the ‘enclave’ of the zones but not within the rest of the host economy. When EPZ firms purchase intermediate and capital goods from their subsidiaries abroad it is an inter-industry transaction and a matter of transfer pricing. When a firm transfers profits to its home country, there is no welfare impact on the host country’s citizens. However, if there is a tax on profit, then the transfer is relevant to the host economy.

**Figure 1: Enclave model**



The flow of goods and services and the financial flows between the host economy and the EPZ are relevant for the welfare of citizens. The domestic economy provides capital, infrastructure and administrative expenditures, workers, public utilities and some limited local input. In return, the host economy receives wages, electricity tariffs, taxes, profits channelled to domestic shareholders and payment for local inputs. EPZ employees receive skills. It is likely that local middle managers gain training, modern managerial practices and the notion of quality control. Domestic borrowing by EPZ firms would enhance banking activities within the host economy. These relationships are relevant for the welfare of citizens. Domestic sales from EPZ firms are usually prohibited. They occur sometimes where goods rejected by quality controls are allowed to be sold domestically. The proceeds are a small percentage of total sales and are subject to duty. This confirms that the ‘enclave’ nature of the EPZ does not promote forward linkages in most cases. The above relationships provide the basis for a conventional cost-benefit analysis, by comparing the observed situation with the hypothetical results of not having a zone.

The focal point of Warr’s (1989) approach is that direct financial flows, such as foreign investment inflows or profit repatriation, have no welfare outcome for a host country. It is the use of local resources and the net benefits to the host economy as a result of EPZ activities that need to be focused on. Descriptive analyses such as export performance or number of jobs created are inappropriate for benefit-cost calculations. The net effect of these activities on groups in the host economy is of greater interest. This benefit-cost calculation further assumes that backward linkages such as employment and local purchases do not always add benefits to the local economy. It is the excess of actual payments at market prices and the opportunity costs of the respective items that generate benefits to the citizen. For example, in economic terms, there will be a net benefit if a worker’s actual market wages exceed the social opportunity cost of employment (shadow wages) in the zone. This indicates that social benefits derived from generating an additional job outweigh the costs. In contrast to

this, there will be a net loss if a host government subsidises utilities to EPZ firms and the market price is below opportunity costs.

The 'enclave model' recognises the following expected benefits and costs. Expected benefits are:

- the difference between wages paid to local labour ( $MWR$ ) and the shadow wage ( $SWR$ );
- the difference between payments by firms for public utilities and locally purchased inputs ( $LP$ ) and the opportunity cost of these public utilities and locally purchased inputs ( $MSC$ );
- all tax payments by firms ( $TAX$ ); and
- net profit income that goes to local equity shareholders in the EPZ firms ( $NP$ ).

Expected costs are:

- capital infrastructure cost ( $CAP$ ) of the establishment of EPZs; and
- administrative expenditure for zone operation ( $ADM$ ).

Thus, an economic net benefit-cost position in any year may be expressed for year  $t$  as:

$$NBC_t = (MWR - SWR)L + (LP - MSC)Q + TAX_t + NP_t - CAP_t - ADM_t$$

where market and shadow wage are referred to as  $MWR$  and  $SWR$  respectively; the domestic price of locally purchased inputs and public utilities is referred to as  $LP$  and the opportunity costs of locally purchased inputs and a public utility are referred to as  $MSC$ ;  $L$  and  $Q$  refer to the number of workers and units of domestic inputs respectively; tax payments and net profits accruing to local shareholders are referred to as  $TAX$  and  $NP$  respectively; and  $CAP$  and  $ADM$  refer to the infrastructure cost of the zones and operational costs respectively. The main drawback to this approach is that costs are readily available for analysis but the benefits are more difficult to obtain. Some benefits such as the transfer of skills and technology associated with employment and local purchases need to be estimated.

In economic terms, there will be a routine contribution to net benefit if a worker's wages exceed the social opportunity cost of employment in the zone, if average electricity tariffs exceed the opportunity cost of supplying the additional power, and if the prices paid by the firms for purchases exceed the opportunity cost of supplying them. Tax earnings generated by EPZ firms represent a clear economic benefit for the host economy. In the absence of the EPZs, these firms would be unlikely to operate and there would be no taxes. The share of profits going to domestic shareholders remains in the country and is another benefit.<sup>3</sup> The foreign-owned share of profits will not come

---

3. Jayanthakumaran and Weiss (1997) estimated net profit ( $NP$ ) using the following formula:  $NP = a(EXP_t - IMP_t - LP_t - W_t - D_t - M_t)$ , where  $a$  is the proportion of equity held by local shareholders,  $EXP$  is export sales,  $IMP$  is import cost,  $LP$  is domestic purchase,  $W$  is wage cost,  $D$  is the annual capital charge based on a capital recovery factor at the current discount rate, and  $M$  is a managerial charge paid to foreign partners. In this expression  $D$  reflects the annual charge for the local equity contribution.

into our calculations, as it has no welfare impact on the domestic economy. The EPZ firms convert foreign into local currency for the payment of local purchases and wages. The difference between the opportunity cost of the exchange rate and the official exchange rate can be a benefit/cost to the domestic economy if the domestic price system is used for the calculations.

If the net benefit ( $NBC_t$ ) is positive, it reflects an excess of benefits and costs for the year studied. If the sum of the discounted benefits exceeds the sum of the discounted costs with the given discount rate, a project is viable. For an EPZ to be efficient, it is required that  $NPV > 0$ , where  $NPV$  is the net present value of the zone and  $r$  is the shadow cost discount rate for the economy as a whole.

$$NPV = \sum \frac{NBC_t}{1+r}$$

In addition, it is a general practice to evaluate projects in terms of Economic Internal Rate of Return (EIRR). A new project should generate an EIRR at least as great as that generated by alternative investments. Efficiency requires that  $EIRR > r$ , where  $EIRR$  refers to the economic internal rate of return of the EPZ and EIRR meets the following condition:

$$\sum \frac{NBC_t}{1+EIRR} = 0$$

## Shadow price estimates

In cases where market prices diverge from opportunity costs it is necessary to appraise activities at shadow prices rather than market prices. The estimated set of conversion factors (CF) can be used either in a world price system or in a domestic price system. In a world price system, shadow prices are shown with a world price numeraire indicating that traded goods are estimated directly at world prices and non-traded goods are converted into world price equivalents, usually on the basis of their marginal costs of production at world prices. In a domestic price system, domestic prices are the numeraire and the world price of these traded goods must be expressed as equivalent to a value in units of domestic prices (Curry and Weiss, 1993). In a world price system  $CF$  is defined as the ratio of the shadow price ( $SP_i$ ) to a domestic market price ( $MP_i$ ).

$$CF_i = \frac{SP_i}{MP_i}$$

Table 1 indicates selected conversion factors for Indonesia, South Korea, Malaysia, the Philippines and Sri Lanka. Warr (1989) and Chen (1993) have used estimated conversion factors based on the domestic price system. The analyses disregard income distributional considerations, weighting all nationals equal in each country. Jayanthakumaran and Weiss (1997) have obtained the estimated conversion factors from Curry and Lucking (1991) based on the world price system. Basically, the above

studies have modified an already existing set of shadow price estimates parametrically around the values to determine the sensitivity of the overall results.

Generating employment is one of the major objectives of establishing EPZs. Conversion factors for labour for the above range of countries indicate that the opportunity cost of labour, as measured by the shadow wages, is less than the market wage rates.<sup>4</sup> In the Philippines this is approximately 0.64, implying a net gain of around 36%. The indication is that this benefit will disappear if employment opportunities outside the zone improve. Considering the fact that EPZs attract relatively more unskilled workers and women, benefit-cost analysis recognises variations in social value among skilled and unskilled and male and female workers. For example, in Sri Lanka the conversion factor of skilled and unskilled workers is 0.785 and 0.722 respectively (Curry and Lucking, 1991: 59). The parameter is likely to vary if one assumes that all the women workers are drawn from the unemployed. However, it is likely that rural women are involved in some kinds of jobs domestically, which are mostly unaccounted for in the national accounts and whose value is difficult to measure since there is no clear basis for identifying their contribution to the national economy. Considering the difficulties of measuring the actual conversion factors for female workers from the economy, these studies test the sensitivity of the results to the use of the conversion factors, assigning a range of values.<sup>5</sup> Managerial training and skills achieved through training are benefits to the host economy. Managers trained by EPZ firms are likely to receive higher salaries in domestic firms operating outside the zone. To capture this externality effect, one can in principle lower the parameter of the opportunity cost of labour.

Domestic purchases are another component and such links transfer technology to domestic firms. Domestic firms are expected to access technical know-how through the process of sub-contracting. The opportunity cost of supplying locally produced inputs to EPZs can be derived by using the average conversion factor for the whole economy to revalue their domestic market price value. To capture the technology transfer one can in principle lower the parameter of the opportunity cost of supplying locally produced inputs. In Table 1, the opportunity cost of domestic inputs is less than the market price, indicating the benefits to the host country. For example, the conversion factor is 0.85 in Indonesia, implying a net gain of around 15%. In practice, the technology transfer of EPZ firms to domestic firms through local purchases was not substantial. EPZ firms either use universally available technology, as in the garment industry, or, if they use advanced technology, as in the electronics industry, it is heavily guarded and its dissemination is highly restricted.

EPZ enterprises are provided with developed factory sites having infrastructure requirements such as power, roads, a container yard for sea-going containers and an air-cargo terminal. Most of these services are subsidised for the sake of promoting local investments. Foreign firms benefit from subsidised tariffs for the public utilities. For

---

4. A simple method of calculating the shadow prices is to divide the wage that would have been earned in alternative employment by the wage earned in the project being evaluated.

5. Summerfield (1995) studied the shadow price of men and women workers in the zones in Mexico and China and recommended equal shadow prices. He argued that the labour involved is at or below the market wage regardless of whether the worker is male or female. This was contrary to the ILO's recommendation for valuing a different shadow price for women based on the fact that the young female workers would not have been in the labour force otherwise.

example, electricity consumption by EPZ firms generates linkages with the host economy. If the average tariff is less than the opportunity cost of supplying additional power, there is a subsidy to the EPZ firms. The national conversion factor for electricity is used to revalue electricity charges at market prices to obtain the economic

**Table 1: Selected conversion factors: Indonesia, South Korea, Malaysia, the Philippines and Sri Lanka**

Category	Indonesia <sup>a</sup>	Korea <sup>a</sup>	Malaysia <sup>a</sup>	Philippines <sup>a</sup>	Sri Lanka <sup>b</sup>
Labour	0.75	0.91	0.83	0.64	
Skilled					0.79
Unskilled					0.72
Foreign exchange	1.00	1.08	1.11	1.25	-
Domestic raw materials	0.85	0.92	0.90	0.96	0.78
Domestic capital	0.85	0.98	0.91	0.96	0.91
Electricity	1.05	1.33	0.93	1.30	1.57

Sources: a) Warr (1989); b) Curry and Lucking (1991).

opportunity cost of supplying the power. The conversion factors for most of the countries are above 1.0, implying that firms receive subsidised power. All countries other than Malaysia have generated costs in this regard.

Warr (1989) has used the domestic price system in his benefit/cost analysis and incorporated the shadow exchange rate. Foreign firms in EPZs are expected to convert their foreign exchange to local currency to meet their expenses, such as wages, electricity tariffs, local purchases and taxes. According to Warr, the difference between the official exchange rate (OER) and the shadow exchange rate (SER) arising from the currency conversion can be a benefit/cost to the host economy. In other words, if the OER used in such currency transactions does not reflect the opportunity cost of foreign exchange, referred to as SER, the economy will increase the premium. All foreign exchange converted to local currency creates a benefit to the host economy, indicated by the formula  $(SER - OER) * F$ , where  $F$  is the sum of foreign exchange converted at the official exchange rate. Jayanthakumaran and Weiss (1997) used the world price system as an alternative, where all non-traded shadow prices are reduced relative to world prices. In this study, 'Conversion Factors' already incorporated an adjustment for a scarcity of foreign exchange.

## **Economic performance: selected Asian countries**

Table 2 reports selected descriptive performance indicators of the EPZs of South Korea, Malaysia, Sri Lanka, Indonesia, China and the Philippines. As far as ownership profiles of EPZ firms are concerned, the majority fall between two extremes: 100% foreign/joint-venture firms in Malaysia, and 70% of local firms in China. As noted, EPZ firms in South Korea and Indonesia have linked with the local economy through subcontracting and domestic purchases and have performed positively in generating net exports. Following the trade and investment liberalisation in 1977, Sri Lanka promoted

manufacturing exports, especially in garments and footwear products, by encouraging foreign investors within EPZs. As a result, the ratios of exports of EPZs to manufacturing exports, and of foreign direct investment in EPZs to all foreign direct investment, were relatively high in the 1980s.<sup>6</sup> In 1982, Malaysia became the world's largest exporter of electronic components, with the EPZs contributing about 90% of this, as reflected in the percentage of EPZ exports to manufacturing exports in Malaysia.

**Table 2: Selected performance indicators of EPZs: Korea, Malaysia, Sri Lanka, Indonesia, China and the Philippines (%)**

Details	Korea	Malaysia	Sri Lanka	Philippines	Indonesia	China
EPZ employment to national employment (1995) <sup>a</sup>		2.1	4.4	0.3	-	12.0
Profile of EPZ firms (1995) <sup>a</sup>						
Foreign/joint venture		100	60	70		30
Local			40	30		70
EPZ exports to manufacturing exports <sup>b</sup>	1 (1986)	49 (1982)	44 (1990)	16 (1990)	-	12 (1989)
EPZ net exports to gross exports <sup>b</sup>	53.2 (1982)	33 (1978)	27.9 (1980)	26.2 (1982)	62.4 (1982)	16.4 (1990)
EPZ FDI to national FDI <sup>b</sup>	4 (1985)	13.4 (1983)	73.8 (1982)	22.6 (1983)	5.5 (1983)	11.6 (1988)
Domestic share in total raw materials <sup>c</sup>	34 (1982)	4 (1982)	5.3 (1988)	6 (1982)	41 (1982)	-

Sources: a) ICFTU/APRO (1995); b) Amirahmadi and Wui (1995); c) data for Korea, Malaysia and Philippines from Warr (1989) and data for Sri Lanka from Jayanthakumaran and Weiss (1997).

As noted, the advocates of a benefit-cost framework focus more on benefits to citizens such as employment, payments for local purchases, technology transfer and tax revenue. An important benefit is the number of jobs generated by EPZ firms and the subsequent transfer of skills. Among the countries concerned, in 1995 EPZs generated direct employment of between 0.3% and 12.0% of national employment. Foreign investors within EPZs were attracted to labour-intensive, low-skilled workers available for low wages, while local investors were attracted to differential policy treatments. Wages within the zones tend to be slightly higher on average than wages outside the zones. For example, they were 30% higher in Malaysia in the early 1990s and 10% higher in the Masan zone (South Korea) between 1971 and 1987 (Madani, 1992: 43-9). In Sri Lanka, overtime work in the zones allows workers to earn the national prescribed rate for the job.<sup>7</sup>

Unskilled female workers account for the majority of jobs in EPZs. The nature of employment within the zone is similar to that of employment outside the EPZs and therefore it was unlikely that additional skills were created and transferred to the

6. In 1994, EPZ exports per worker in Sri Lanka were \$6700 compared to \$8858 in the Philippines and \$4250 in China (ICFTU/APRO, 1995: 14).

7. ICFTU/APRO (1995: 12) shows that at least one-third of workers in EPZs receive rates below the minimum wage for the particular sector in Sri Lanka, China and the Philippines.

domestic economy.<sup>8</sup> Workers in the EPZs were ignorant of their legal rights of compensation, protection at the work place and trade union activity. They were also unaware of the methods of occupational health and safety and the precautions necessary at the work place (ICFTU/APRO, 1995: 18-19). One would expect that externalities in the form of managerial skills, practices and the notion of quality control would occur. However, evidence shows that workers in EPZs are employed under very strict factory discipline. If there is a transfer of managerial skills and practices, including quality control, it may be small and not quantifiable (Warr, 1989: 78).

Two dominant industries within EPZs are textiles, clothing and footwear, and electronics. Healy and Lutkenhorst (1989: 50) have shown significant linkages in terms of purchases of domestic raw materials and domestic services such as transport, finance, insurance and packaging in South Korea. Manufacturing of footwear exhibited the highest domestic purchases. They argued that sub-contracting was exceptionally high, especially in the electronics industry, as compared with EPZs in other Asian countries. Domestic firms are expected to access technical know-how through the process of sub-contracting.

In the situation where EPZ firms purchase locally produced goods, such links transfer technology to domestic firms. Warr (1989: 70-71) found that Indonesian zones are highly reliant on the garment industry and foreign firms increasingly purchase local textiles. Zones in Malaysia focused strongly on the electronics industry and local purchases constituted less than 5% of the total. In the Philippines, ratios of local purchases to total raw materials were below 10% for most years between 1972 and 1982. In Sri Lanka, most local purchases were sub-products, or services, of wearing apparel. These purchases were at a low level and the percentage of domestic purchases to total raw materials remained static at around 5% in all sectors (Jayanthakumaran, 1995: 14).

Attempts to promote sub-contracting were not successful for a number of reasons. First, EPZ firms mostly buy their inputs from the cheapest source outside the country, utilising facilities offered to them, such as tariff-free imports of raw materials, parts and components. Second, EPZ firms have no direct contact with domestic firms. Finally, linkages of zone enterprises with local industries are limited because of the low level of industrialisation in the country and the nature of the import-based manufacturing processes that they adopt. As far as technology transfer is concerned, technology used for the garment industry is simple and universally available, while for electronics it is heavily guarded (Warr, 1989: 75).

EPZs, in general, offer generous tax concessions for foreign investors. Malaysia, Indonesia, Sri Lanka and the Philippines had almost zero tax revenue during the first few years of operation of the zones. Even after this period, very little tax income has been recorded. EPZ firms have the bargaining strength to negotiate successfully to extend already existing tax breaks. They also declare overall trading losses while they are expanding successfully (Warr, 1989: 69).

---

8. See Jayanthakumaran (1995: 21-5) for Sri Lanka, Warr (1989: 75) for the Philippines and Summerfield (1995: 30) for China and Mexico.

## Results: benefit-cost analysis

Spinanger (1984), Warr (1989 and 1990), Chen (1993) and Jayanthakumaran and Weiss (1997) have used conventional benefit-cost analysis by quantifying benefits and costs and computing the net benefits, assuming a life for the zones concerned of 25 years. The EPZs studied are Masan in South Korea, Penang in Malaysia, Bataan in the Philippines, Jakarta in Indonesia, Shenzhen in China and Katunayake and Biyagama in Sri Lanka.

Spinanger (1984) formed two hypotheses, one of which displays a static and the other a dynamic effect. Static benefits occur via increases in the supply of foreign exchange, and remuneration of factors of production and suppliers of intermediate and other inputs, as well as via the achievement of economies of scale in developing land, infrastructure and government services. Dynamic benefits occur through promoting essential conditions for the industrialisation of the host country in the form of linkage effects, such as demonstration effects, skills and technology. Spinanger (1984) obtained a positive impact in Penang in a benefit-cost analysis, assuming the lifetime of machinery to be 8-12 years in Malaysia. Further, he found a slightly positive impact in Bataan in the Philippines. This analysis itself has some drawbacks. As Spinanger admitted, infrastructure costs were not included and if they had been included there would have been a negative impact in Bataan's case (Warr, 1990). There are strong reasons for believing that the market prices of these countries are distorted, so it is more appropriate to use shadow prices. However, Spinanger's analysis was based on market prices.

**Table 3: Welfare impact of EPZs (1982 US\$m. except China 1988 US\$m. and Sri Lanka 1988 Rm.)**

Category	South Korea <sup>a</sup>	Philippines <sup>a</sup>	Indonesia <sup>a</sup>	Malaysia <sup>a</sup>	Sri Lanka <sup>b</sup>	China <sup>c</sup>
Employment	39	59	4	111	894	
Foreign-exchange earnings	65	72	0	94	-	
Domestic raw materials	16	3	5	18	355	
Domestic capital equipment	0	0	0	10	0	
Taxes and other revenues	18	11	23	10	97	
Domestic profit		-	-	-	1122	
Electricity use	-13	-4	-1	-53	-271	
Infrastructure and administrative costs	-85	-219	-16	-47	-764	
Domestic borrowing	0	-147	0	0	0	
Net present value	40	-225	15	143	1433	58.6
Internal rate of return (%)	15	-3	26	28	23	10.7
Economic discount rate (%)	7.5	7.5	7.5	7.5	6	7.5
Project life (years)	25	25	25	25	15	25

Sources: a) Warr (1989); b) Jayanthakumaran and Weiss (1997); c) Chen (1993).

Table 3 indicates measures of the welfare impact of EPZs. Warr (1989, 1990) has undertaken extensive work in formulating benefit-cost methodology and applying it in four Asian countries: Indonesia (the Jakarta EPZ), South Korea (the Masan FEZ), Malaysia (the Penang FTZ) and the Philippines (the Bataan EPZ). All of these EPZs started their operations in the early 1970s, initially with garment manufacture as the dominant industry. Electronics assembly followed in South Korea and the Philippines. Warr obtained a positive net present value for the EPZs located in Indonesia, South Korea and Malaysia and a negative present value in the Philippines. He covered most of the static benefits, as they related to Spinanger's first hypothesis, while some of the dynamic benefits still remained difficult to identify. Economic internal rate of return for South Korea, Malaysia, Indonesia, Sri Lanka and China was around 15%, 28%, 26%, 23% and 10.7% respectively, which is well above the shadow discount rate of the respective countries.

**Table 4: Realisation of the expected benefits of EPZs**

Expected benefits	South Korea <sup>a</sup>	Philippines	Indonesia	Malaysia	Sri Lanka	China
Employment	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Foreign-exchange earnings	✓	✓	X	✓	-	✓
Domestic raw materials	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	-
Domestic capital equipment	X	X	X	✓	X	-
Taxes and other revenues	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Domestic profit		-	-	-	✓	X
Electricity use	X	X	X	X	X	X
Domestic borrowing	X	X	X	X	X	-

Notes: ✓ = realised; X = unrealised. As revealed by Table 3, positive gains on respective expected benefits are reported as 'realised'.

Table 4 lists the expected benefits of EPZs and whether or not these appear to have been realised. The major benefits expected to accrue from EPZs lay in generating employment, foreign-exchange earnings, domestic raw material purchases, and taxes. These expectations were realised in most of the zones. Employment generation in South Korea, Malaysia and the Philippines accounted for more than half the gross benefits in each case. Domestic raw material purchases and taxes are relatively high in Indonesia. The heavy infrastructural costs and negative impact on the capital market resulted in the negative net benefit in the Philippines' Bataan EPZ. The Philippines government heavily subsidised substantial domestic borrowings by foreign firms.

In Sri Lanka, activities aside from textiles and clothing have generated modest returns. The two main benefits are profits to shareholders and income gains to labour, as wage rates on new projects in Sri Lanka exceed opportunity costs. The former is about 45% of gross benefits in present value terms and the latter is about 36%.<sup>9</sup> Local

9. In 1988, of the total firms 32% were wholly foreign-owned, 56% were joint ventures and the rest were domestic firms. The sensitivity for the average labour conversion factor and managerial benefits was tested. The overall judgement on the relatively high economic returns to the zones is not affected by the

purchases, other than electricity, generated 14% of gross benefits. Domestic profits have been an important source of benefit to the Sri Lankan economy. In terms of ownership, wholly owned domestic firms generated national returns of about 28%, compared to 22% for joint ventures and 21% for wholly foreign-owned firms. This is from a national point of view and mainly due to the influence of domestic profit.

In China, high return to the zone is affected by the alternative treatment of workers. With 56% and 36% wage differences between the firms inside and outside the zones, there was a 7.5% and 2.5% internal rate of return respectively. The major sources of benefits were employment, foreign-exchange earnings, tax revenue and technical training. Domestic profit was low in all but one year. The Shenzhen zone was profitable both in national economic terms and from the commercial point of view.

## **Summary**

The benefit-cost analyses applied by the authors covered by this survey are consistent enough to allow valid comparison. The results show some support for the hypothesis that EPZs make a positive economic impact for the citizens of a host country. The major drawback of these studies is that conversion factors were assumed to be constant throughout the period of study. Our literature survey shows unambiguously that zones in South Korea, Malaysia, Sri Lanka, China and Indonesia are economically efficient and generate returns well above the estimated opportunity costs of the respective countries. The zones have been an important source of employment in all cases and have promoted local entrepreneurs in the cases of South Korea and Indonesia. The heavy infrastructure costs involved in setting up the zone in the Philippines resulted in a negative net present value.

Domestic profits were an important source of benefit in Sri Lanka, mainly because of greater domestic and joint venture structure in ownership. Warr (1989: 77) neglected domestic profits in the cases of South Korea, Malaysia, Indonesia and the Philippines because domestic profits are difficult to quantify and are unlikely to be very significant. Chen (1993: 267) integrated domestic profits in China and concluded that the impact was small in all but one year. The results do suggest that a heavy reliance on foreign investors is unlikely to maximise the welfare of citizens and that there should be a balance between domestic and foreign investment.

The effective utilisation of EPZs as instruments of industrialisation requires the availability of linkages with the rest of the economy. In the initial stage of development at least, employment and income gains to labour seem to be important benefits, as wage rates in the EPZs generally exceed opportunity costs. This survey shows unambiguously that the zones have provided an efficient means of absorbing surplus labour in the initial stages. However, as industrial development proceeds, the expectation is that the gap between market and opportunity costs will narrow and the national interest in the EPZs will tend to disappear. National interest may hold only if the zones generate private profit to domestic shareholders.

There is a strong correlation between the growth of EPZs and the Multi-Fibre Arrangement (MFA) in general. A growing number of firms migrated to obtain quotas

---

alternative treatment of unskilled labour. The managerial benefits are assumed to be 2% of the turnover, which reduces the internal rate of return on capital from 34% to 23%.

originated by the MFA. The World Trade Organisation is currently involved in the phased reduction of MFAs, the removal of the exploitation of child and female workers in developing countries and the removal of a number of the incentives of EPZs.<sup>10</sup> The growing fear is that, in the absence of guaranteed markets and cost advantages, firms may distance themselves from a number of new and recently established EPZs. These policy measures will eventually result in lower rates of return and will be a possible threat to the existing and new EPZs.

## References

- Amirahmadi, H. and Wu, W. (1995) 'Export Processing Zones in Asia', *Asian Survey* 35 (9): 828-49.
- Chen, J. (1993) 'Social Cost-benefit Analysis of China's Shenzhen Special Economic Zone', *Development Policy Review* 11 (3): 261-71.
- Curry, S. R. and Lucking, R. (1991) *Report on Shadow Prices for Sri Lanka: A Report Prepared for National Planning Department, Ministry of Policy Planning and Implementation*. Bradford: Development and Project Planning Centre, University of Bradford.
- Curry, S. R. and Weiss, J. (1993) *Project Analysis in Developing Countries*. New York: St. Martin's Press.
- Hamada, K. (1974) 'An Economic Analysis of the Duty Free Zone', *Journal of International Economic* 4: 225-41.
- Healey, D. and Lutkenhorst, W. (1989) 'Export Processing Zones: The Case of the Republic of Korea', *Industry and Development* 26: 1-56.
- ICFTU/APRO Research Development and Training Program (RDTP) (1995) *EPZs in Asia: Who Profits?* Results of a survey carried out in 1994/95 by ICFTU/APRO on the EPZs in Asia. Karachi: International Confederation of Free Trade Unions-Asia and Pacific Regional Organisation (ICFTU/APRO).
- Jayanthakumaran, K. (1995) *An Overview of Sri Lankan Export Processing Zones*. Bangladesh: International Confederation of Free Trade Unions-Asia and Pacific Regional Organisation (ICFTU/APRO).
- Jayanthakumaran, K. and Weiss, J. (1997) 'Export Processing Zones in Sri Lanka: A Cost-benefit Appraisal', *Journal of International Development* 9 (5): 727-37.
- Johansson, H. (1994) 'The Economics of Export Processing Zones Revisited', *Development Policy Review* 12 (4): 387-402.
- Johansson, H. and Nilsson, L. (1997) 'Export Processing Zones as Catalysts', *World Development* 25 (12): 2115-28.

---

10. The 'Uruguay Round' negotiations agreed to phase reductions of the MFA and to integrate all products in four phases ending in 2005. During phase 1, each party would integrate products from the specific list in the agreement, which accounted for not less than 18% of 1990 imports from January 1995. During phase 2, each party would integrate products not less than 17% of 1990 imports by January 1998. During phase 3, each party would integrate not less than 18% of 1990 imports by January 2002. During phase 4 all remaining products would be integrated from 2005. Migration of firms for quotas would come to an end by 2005. The Uruguay Round negotiations further established that preferential incentives provided to EPZs, such as tax breaks and utility subsidies that were not applied nationwide, can be construed as export subsidies and subject to countervailing duties. The least developed countries and countries with less than US\$ 1000 per capita GNP are exempt from this ruling on prohibited subsidies.

- Kaplinsky, R. (1993) 'Export Processing Zones in the Dominican Republic: Transforming Manufactures into Commodities', *World Development* 21 (11): 1851-65.
- Madani, D. (1999) *A Review of the Role and Impact of Export Processing Zones*. Washington, DC: World Bank.
- Spinanger, D. (1984) 'Objectives and Impact of Economic Activity Zones: Some Evidence from Asia', *Weltwirtschaftliches Archiv* 120: 64-89.
- Summerfield, G. (1995) 'The Shadow Price of Labour in Export Processing Zones: A Discussion of the Social Value of Employing Women in Export Processing in Mexico and China', *Review of Political Economy* 7 (1): 28-42.
- Vernon, R. (1966) 'International Investment and International Trade in the Product Cycle', *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 80: 190-207.
- Warr, P. (1987) 'Malaysia's Industrial Enclaves: Benefits and Costs', *Developing Economies* 25: 30-55.
- Warr, P. (1989) 'Export Processing Zones: The Economics of Enclave Manufacturing', *World Bank Research Observer* 4 (1): 65-87.
- Warr, P. (1990) 'Export Processing Zones', in C. Milner (ed.), *Export Promotion Strategies*. New York: Wheatsheaf.
- Willmore, L. (1995) 'Export Processing Zones in the Dominican Republic: A Comment on Kaplinsky', *World Development* 23 (3): 529-35.