

Human Development Quarterly Update

Q 3 2015

- [Latest research findings](#)
- [New articles and books](#)
- [In the news](#)
- [And on the blogs](#)
- [References](#)
- [Previous issues](#)

Latest research findings

The Impact of Secondary Schooling in Kenya: A Regression Discontinuity Analysis

Owen Ozier [1] estimates the impacts of secondary school on human capital, occupational choice, and fertility for young adults in Kenya. The probability of admission to government secondary school rises sharply at a score close to the national mean on a standardized 8th grade examination, permitting the estimation of causal effects of schooling in a regression discontinuity framework. The analysis combines administrative test score data with a recent survey of young adults to estimate these impacts. The results show that secondary schooling increases human capital, as measured by performance on cognitive tests included in the survey. For men, there is a drop in the probability of low-skill self-employment, as well as suggestive evidence of a rise in the probability of formal employment. The opportunity to attend secondary school also reduces teen pregnancy among women.

New articles and books

HIV Testing, Behavior Change, and the Transition to Adulthood in Malawi

For young adults living in countries with AIDS epidemics, getting an HIV test may influence near-term decisions, such as when to leave school, when to marry, and when to have a first child. These behaviors, which define the

transition from adolescence to adulthood, have long-term implications for well-being and directly affect a person's risk of contracting HIV. Using an experimental design embedded in a panel survey from Malawi, [Kathleen Beegle, Michelle Poulin and Gil Shapira](#) [2] assess how HIV voluntary counseling and testing of young adults affects these decisions. Their results show a negligible intent-to-treat effect of HIV testing on behaviors. There is some suggestive evidence, however, of a differential response by wealth and by prior beliefs about one's HIV status.

A Does Africa Need a Rotten Kin Theorem? Experimental Evidence from Village Economies

[Pamela Jakiela and Owen Ozier](#) [3] measure the economic impacts of social pressures to share income with kin and neighbors in rural Kenyan villages. They conduct a lab experiment in which they randomly vary the observability of investment returns to test whether subjects reduce their income in order to keep it hidden. The authors find that women adopt an investment strategy that conceals the size of their initial endowment in the experiment, though that strategy reduces their expected earnings. This effect is largest among women with relatives attending the experiment. Parameter estimates suggest that women anticipate that observable income will be "taxed" at a rate above four percent; this effective tax rate nearly doubles when kin can observe income directly. At the village level, we find an association between willingness to forgo expected return to keep income hidden in the laboratory experiment and worse economic outcomes outside the laboratory.

Use of Standardized Patients to Assess Quality of Tuberculosis Care: A Pilot, Cross-sectional Study

Existing studies of the quality of tuberculosis care have relied on recall-based patient surveys, questionnaire surveys of knowledge, and prescription or medical record analysis, and the results mostly show the health-care provider's knowledge rather than actual practice. No study has used standardized patients to assess clinical practice. [Jishnu Das et al.](#) [4]

undertook a pilot, cross-sectional validation standardized patient study on a convenience sample of consenting private health-care providers in low-income and middle-income areas of Delhi, India. They recruited standardized patients in apparently good health from the local community to present four cases (two of presumed tuberculosis and one each of confirmed tuberculosis and suspected multidrug-resistant tuberculosis) to a randomly allocated health-care provider. The key objective was to validate the standardized-patient method using three criteria: negligible risk and ability to avoid adverse events for providers and standardized patients, low detection rates of standardized patients by providers, and data accuracy across standardized patients and audio verification of standardized-patient recall. The team also used medical vignettes to assess providers' knowledge of presumed tuberculosis. Correct case management was benchmarked using Standards for Tuberculosis Care in India (STCI).

Quality of Tuberculosis Care in India: A Systematic Review

While Indian studies have assessed care providers' knowledge and practices, there has been no systematic review on the quality of tuberculosis (TB) care. [S. Satyanarayana et al.](#) [5] searched multiple sources to identify studies undertaken over the period 2000–2014 on providers' knowledge and practices, using the International Standards for TB Care to benchmark quality of care. Of the 47 studies included, 35 were questionnaire surveys and 12 used chart abstraction. None assessed actual practice using standardized patients. Heterogeneity in the findings precluded meta-analysis. Of 22 studies evaluating provider knowledge about using sputum smears for diagnosis, 10 found that less than half of providers had correct knowledge; 3 of 4 studies assessing self-reported practices by providers found that less than a quarter reported ordering smears for patients with chest symptoms. In 11 of 14 studies that assessed treatment, less than one third of providers knew the standard regimen for drug-susceptible TB. Adherence to standards in practice was generally lower than correct knowledge of those standards. Eleven studies with both public and private providers found higher levels of appropriate knowledge/practice in the public sector. The available evidence suggests suboptimal quality of TB care, particularly in the private sector, and that the improvement of quality of care should be a priority for India.

Value Judgments in Health Inequality Measurement

Value judgments lurk beneath the surface in any study of health inequalities; analysts ought to understand them, make them explicit, and present results transparently to policymakers so that they, rather than analysts, decide which set of value judgments should be invoked. That is the key message of the paper “Lies, Damned Lies, and Health

Inequality Measurements” by Gustav Kjellsson, Ulf-G Gerdtham, and Dennis Petrie (KGP). KGP remind us that all studies of health inequalities are implicitly or explicitly based on either an absolute or relative notion of equality, and that studies involving bounded variables also involve an implicit or explicit value judgment about whether it is inequalities in attainment (e.g. health) that matter or inequalities in shortfalls (e.g. ill health). KGP emphasize that the value judgment between attainment and shortfall gets intertwined in practice with the value judgment of whether it is absolute or relative inequality that matters. In a Commentary, [Adam Wagstaff](#) [6] offers some thoughts on, and practical suggestions regarding, the two interrelated issues highlighted by KGP (absolute vs. relative inequality; and the mirror issue).

In the news

Jishnu Das's work on private schools [7, 8] is cited in a survey by [The Economist](#) on low-cost private schools.

Das's work on the quality of health care in India [4, 9] is cited in an op-ed by Primit Bhattacharya and Dipti Jain on [Live Mint](#) entitled “The growing burden of healthcare costs: Rising healthcare costs is taking a big toll on the poor”, and in a [MedpageToday](#) article on “rampant quackery”.

Berk Ozler's latest intervention in the ‘worm wars’ debate [10] is picked up by Tim Harford in an op-ed in [Gulf News](#) entitled “Economic data is not always about numbers: A deworming study in Kenya has economists and epidemiologists on opposing sides”.

And on the blogs

On [Development Impact](#), David Evans [11] provides an anthology of readings, data sets, code, and videos in the long-running ‘worm wars’. It all began with a study on the benefits of deworming for Kenyan schoolchildren, but morphed into a debate “about the benefits of deworming more generally, about replication in science and social science, and about the evidence base for development programs.” Also on [Development Impact](#), Berk Ozler [10] – a combatant in the ‘worm wars’ – has another post on the subject.

Berk Ozler has a three-part series on [Development Impact](#) on interventions to improve schooling among adolescent females. [Part I](#) [12] is about increasing the returns to education for women. [Part II](#) [13] is about the effects of removing institutional constraints.

On [Let's Talk Development](#), Adam Wagstaff [14] looks at some sobering evidence from three retrospective evaluations of at-scale programs to ask whether financial incentives in health – demand- and supply-side – are really the magic bullet we were hoping for.

On [Development Impact](#), David Evans [15] has a nice summary of someone else's work that finds that monitoring teachers and students – without any incentive or punishment attached – improved test scores in an adult education program in Niger.

References

1. Ozier, O., *The Impact of Secondary Schooling in Kenya: A Regression Discontinuity Analysis*, 2015, The World Bank, Policy Research Working Paper: Washington, DC.
2. Beegle, K., M. Poulin, and G. Shapira, *HIV Testing, Behavior Change, and the Transition to Adulthood in Malawi*. Economic Development and Cultural Change, 2015. **63**(4): p. 1-20.
3. Jakiela, P. and O. Ozier, *Does Africa Need a Rotten Kin Theorem? Experimental Evidence from Village Economies*. The Review of Economic Studies, September 17, 2015 (Online).
4. Das, J., et al., *Use of standardised patients to assess quality of tuberculosis care: a pilot, cross-sectional study*. The Lancet Infectious Diseases 2015 (Published online): p. 1-13.
5. Satyanarayana, S., et al., *Quality of tuberculosis care in India: a systematic review* The International Journal of Tuberculosis and Lung Disease, 2015. **19**(7): p. 751-763.
6. Wagstaff, A., *Value Judgments in Health Inequality Measurement*. Epidemiology, 2015. **26**(5): p. 670-672.
7. Andrabi, T., J. Das, and A.I. Khwaja, *Delivering Education: A Pragmatic Framework for Improving Education in Low-Income Countries*, 2015, The World Bank, Policy Research Working Paper: Washington, DC.
8. Andrabi, T., J. Das, and A.I. Khwaja, *Report Cards: The Impact of Providing School and Child Test Scores on Educational Markets*, 2015, The World Bank, Policy Research Working Paper: Washington, DC.
9. Das, J., et al., *In Urban And Rural India, A Standardized Patient Study Showed Low Levels Of Provider Training And Huge Quality Gaps*. Health Affairs 2012. **31**(12): p. 2774-2784.
10. Ozler, B., *Worm Wars: A Review of the Reanalysis of Miguel and Kremer's Deworming Study*, in *Development Impact* 2015, The World Bank: Washington, DC.
11. Evans, D., *Worm Wars: The Anthology*, in *Development Impact* 2015, The World Bank: Washington, DC.
12. Ozler, B., *What works to keep adolescent girls in school? A three-part series*, in *Development Impact* 2015, The World Bank: Washington, DC.
13. Ozler, B., *What works to keep adolescent girls in school? Part 2*, in *Development Impact* 2015, The World Bank: Washington, DC.
14. Wagstaff, A., *Financial incentives in health: the magic bullet we were hoping for?*, in *Let's Talk Development*, T.W.B.-. blogs.worldbank.org, Editor 2015, The World Bank: Washington, DC.
15. Evans, D.K., *Can monitoring teachers and students – with no incentive or punishment attached -- improve test scores? Yes.*, in *Development Impact* 2015.

Previous issues

[HD Quarterly Previous Issues](#)