

# **Projection of Donor Funds**

**available from**

**2007 - 2009**

# **Fund Projection 2007 - 2009**

Donors included:

AsDB, CIDA, DFID, EBRD, EC,  
Germany (gtz, KfW), Japan, SIDA,  
Switzerland, TICA, UNDP/UNICEF,  
WHO, World Bank

# Fund Projection 2007 - 2009

- Budget allocations of DCC members for the years  
2007: USD 229 mio  
2008: USD 208 mio    Total: USD 596 mio  
2009: USD 159 mio
- Not all donor fund allocations are included as:
  - not yet all donors have their budgets approved/confirmed
- The compiled budget figures allow a more reliable projection of donor funds available than the pledging figures

# Fund Projection 2007 - 2009

In mio USD

<b>Sector</b>	<b>Sector of Economy</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>Total</b>
1	Public Administration	5.66	5.88	5.53	<b>17.07</b>
2	Macroeconomic policy	11.06	11.39	1.39	<b>23.84</b>
3	Improvement of the investment climate, development of the private sector and entrepreneurship	8.18	14.88	4.50	<b>27.56</b>
4	Development of regional cooperation and integration into the global economy	1.45	4.55	15.65	<b>21.65</b>
13	Development of transportation	48.72	6.14	25.10	<b>79.96</b>
14	Development of communications	0.00	0.10	0.10	<b>0.20</b>
15	Development of energy	10.54	32.69	6.27	<b>49.50</b>
16	Development of industry	0.00	0.10	0.10	<b>0.20</b>

# Fund Projection 2007 – 2009, cont.

In mio USD

Sector	Sector of Economy	2007	2008	2009	Total
6	Development of the agro-industrial complex and food security	72.41	45.83	41.82	<b>160.06</b>
17	Development of education	18.55	29.46	9.60	<b>57.61</b>
18	Development of science	0.00	0.20	0.20	<b>0.40</b>
8	Development of the health care system	13.18	31.95	31.79	<b>76.92</b>
9	Social welfare	29.52	9.39	5.69	<b>44.60</b>
10	Expansion of access to water, sanitation and housing and municipal services	7.48	13.72	9.31	<b>30.51</b>
11	Promotion of environmental sustainability	1.15	0.80	0.75	<b>2.70</b>
12	Gender	1.20	1.12	1.27	<b>3.59</b>
	<b>Total PRS spending</b>	<b>229.10</b>	<b>208.21</b>	<b>159.06</b>	<b>596.37</b>

# Fund Projection 2007 - 2009

- The fund projection 2007 – 2009 may lag behind the expectations to finance the PRS
- Depending on the assessment of the feasibility to mobilise the required funds for the PRS, the government may have to:
  - ➔ to re-prioritize the PRS including the financing of projects, and/or
  - ➔ to raise investment funds more aggressively

# Public Administration Reform

Key condition  
to attract actors cooperation  
and private investments:



Effective  
implementation of the  
Public Administration Reform

# **Public Financial Management and Public Expenditure Reforms**

to ensure

- ➡ macro-financial discipline
- ➡ strategic allocation of resources
- ➡ operational efficiency
- ➡ coordination of actors and means

# **Public Administration Reform**

Pace and success of the  
Public Administration Reform  
will define the  
government's absorption capacity  
of reform and investment projects

# **Sector-wide Approach (SWAp)**

Plans for SWAp:

- ➔ Health
- ➔ Education

Programmatic Approach:

- ➔ Agriculture
- ➔ Private Sector Development

# SWAp – what does it mean?

- Moving from project to programme approach
- Active sector coordination and alignment by the government
- Approach:
  - Development of Sector Strategy
  - Sector Reform (budget/finance; administration/management) with technical implementation

# Public Administration Reform and Sector-wide Approach

Increased administration capacity of the government will result in

- improved confidence into governance, administration and fiscal framework

allowing to use increasingly the state system for support and to gradually shift from project to budget funding.