



Figure 4.1 Converting the Harbinson Formula into a Tiered Formula

percent



Source: Authors' calculations.

Figure 4.2 A Tiered Tariff-Cutting Formula without Discontinuities
percent



Source: Authors' calculations.

Note: Upper line shows the 40 percent reduction. Lower line shows the 40:50:60 percent progressive tiered formula

Table 4.1 Key Features of Applied Agricultural Tariffs, by Selected Countries and Regions, 2001

percent, trade weighted average

<i>Country/region</i>	<i>Overall average</i>	<i>Ad valorem tariffs</i>	<i>Specific tariffs</i>	<i>Tariff for TRQs</i>	<i>TRQ Share</i>
Australia	3.0	2.1	0.9	1.0	5.6
Bangladesh	14.4	14.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
Canada	9.7	8.3	1.3	30.7	21.0
China	38.9	38.9	0.0	5.7	22.0
Japan	35.5	9.9	25.6	103.4	8.8
Korea, Rep. of	93.9	93.9	0.0	226.3	38.5
Mexico	10.7	10.6	0.1	33.8	23.6
Pakistan	30.4	9.7	20.7	0.0	0.0
India	55.1	54.3	0.9	0.0	0.0
Turkey	14.0	13.9	0.1	0.0	0.0
United States	2.7	0.9	1.7	11.2	17.1
Mercosur	12.9	12.9	0.0	6.9	3.3
European Free Trade Area (EFTA)	28.6	2.0	26.6	58.2	33.6
ASEAN	11.2	7.5	3.7	32.0	8.4
Sub-Saharan LDCs	13.1	13.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
Other Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA)	25.6	25.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
Maghreb	17.6	16.2	1.5	39.4	14.3
South African Customs Union (SACU)	13.0	4.4	8.6	16.3	55.9
EU	11.8	3.1	8.8	35.5	21.5
Developed	14.3	4.3	10.0	36.9	17.3
Developing	20.9	18.5	2.4	63.7	11.6
LDCs	13.4	13.0	0.3	0.0	0.0
World	17.2	10.8	6.4	46.5	14.4

Source: MacMap-HS6 Database, Centre d'Etudes Prospectives et d'Information Internationales (CEPII), Paris (see Bouét and others 2004).

Note: The Maghreb region consists of Algeria, Libya, Mauritania, Morocco, and Tunisia.

Table 4.2 Bound and Applied Agricultural Tariff Rates, by Selected Countries and Regions, 2001

percent, trade weighted average

<i>Country/ region</i>	<i>Bound MFN tariff</i>	<i>Applied tariff</i>	<i>CV bound</i>	<i>CV MFN applied</i>
Australia	5.9	3.6	3.0	1.9
Bangladesh	156.7	14.4	14.4	8.8
Canada	19.6	19.3	9.7	23.6
China ^a	16.2	51.3	38.9	11.0
Japan	62.1	52.1	34.6	81.1
Korea, Rep. of	103.5	119.8	93.9	43.3
Mexico	49.4	31.9	10.7	17.7
Pakistan	107.7	30.0	30.4	3.3
India	153.4	55.4	55.1	23.3
Turkey	50.1	16.1	14.0	12.5
United States	6.2	6.0	2.7	14.0
Mercosur	34.0	12.9	12.9	1.8
EFTA	70.8	48.2	28.6	21.7
ASEAN	59.7	12.1	11.2	25.2
Sub-Saharan LDCs	62.8	14.8	13.1	1.8
Other SSA	104.4	26.5	25.6	1.0
Maghreb	38.0	18.9	17.6	10.9
SACU	51.5	13.8	13.0	11.7
EU	20.5	17.2	11.8	40.6
Developed	27.0	22.1	14.2	37.3
Developing	48.1	26.7	20.6	13.9
LDCs	77.6	14.3	13.4	3.7
World	37.4	24.0	17.0	26.2

Source: MacMap-HS6 Database, CEPIL, Paris (see Bouet and others 2004).

Note: CV is the weighted coefficient of variation for the power of this tariff.

a. The bound average duty reported for China takes into account commitments not in effect in 2001, hence its lower level in comparison with the MFN rate.

Table 4.3 Summary Description of the Agricultural Reform Scenarios

Base	2001 applied protection
Agric 0	Pre-experiment (EU enlargement + WTO commitments)
Agric 1	Tiered formula for agriculture, 45, 70, and 75% cuts
Agric 2	Tiered formula + sensitive products (2% of tariff lines)
Agric 3	Tiered formula + sensitive products (5% of tariff lines)
Agric 4	Proportional cut
Agric 5	Proportional cut + sensitive products (2% of tariff lines)
Agric 6	Tiered formula + sensitive products (2% of tariff lines) + 200% tariff cap
Agric 7	“Light” tiered formula, 35, 50, and 65% cuts
Agric 8	Tiered formula with developed-country coefficients in both developed and developing countries, no reduction for LDCs
Agric 9	Tiered formula with developing countries and LDCs treated as developed countries
Agric 10	Swiss formula
Agric 11	Swiss formula + sensitive products (2% of tariff lines)
Agric 12	Tiered formula with sensitive products not exceeding 2% of import value
Agric 13	Tiered formula with sensitive products not exceeding 5% of import value
Agric 14	Tiered formula with 2% of tariff lines sensitive products (excluding alcohol and tobacco products)

Source: Authors.

Note: In developing countries, the percentage of products subject to sensitive product treatment was doubled to allow for “special” products.

Table 4.4: Base Level and Reductions in Average Bound Duties, by Agricultural Reform Scenario
percentage points

Country	Base (%)	Scenario													
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)
Australia	5.9	2.8	1.0	1.0	3.9	3.2	2.2	2.2	2.8	2.8	1.2	1.1	2.6	2.4	2.5
Bangladesh	156.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	78.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Canada	19.6	12.4	3.0	3.0	12.8	7.1	6.7	10.5	12.4	12.4	11.6	5.5	9.7	7.4	6.4
China	16.2	4.1	1.6	1.6	4.8	3.5	3.0	3.0	6.1	4.1	2.2	2.0	3.5	3.0	3.0
Japan	62.1	42.4	9.8	9.6	40.0	20.2	28.9	36.1	42.4	42.4	46.7	21.7	34.3	28.0	20.9
Korea, Rep. of	103.5	53.7	16.4	16.2	45.9	21.5	52.6	43.3	72.7	53.7	66.6	20.1	46.0	38.5	21.7
Mexico	49.4	19.9	7.5	7.5	21.9	19.2	17.6	15.0	31.1	19.9	15.1	11.7	17.2	15.9	16.9
Pakistan	107.7	47.4	16.3	16.2	47.8	21.7	27.6	36.7	72.6	47.4	46.5	26.6	45.7	42.6	21.3
India	153.4	76.1	23.2	23.2	68.0	64.5	73.3	60.8	107.4	76.1	87.5	40.2	71.5	67.5	73.6
Turkey	50.1	21.6	11.3	11.3	22.2	20.6	20.1	16.6	32.4	21.6	18.9	17.7	19.1	15.8	20.1
United States	6.2	3.3	1.4	1.4	4.0	3.1	2.4	2.6	3.3	3.3	1.9	1.5	2.7	2.1	2.4
Mercosur	34.0	12.6	6.2	5.7	15.1	13.6	12.0	9.2	20.1	12.6	6.9	6.0	12.2	11.5	12.1
EFTA	70.8	48.2	11.0	10.9	46.0	35.4	40.1	41.1	48.2	48.2	51.5	39.2	41.8	36.4	37.6
ASEAN	59.7	26.4	11.5	11.4	24.2	16.5	25.3	20.6	37.5	27.5	30.1	10.0	20.2	16.6	23.0
Sub-Saharan LDCs	62.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Other SSA	104.4	47.4	16.7	16.3	46.3	37.0	38.1	36.9	70.9	47.4	47.9	36.9	46.0	44.1	38.6
Maghreb	38.0	15.1	5.7	5.7	16.3	11.8	12.3	11.4	23.4	15.1	13.0	11.0	13.9	12.5	11.9
SACU	51.5	21.5	11.4	11.3	22.7	20.4	21.3	16.4	33.2	21.6	18.9	17.3	18.1	16.8	20.9
EU	20.5	12.7	3.4	3.2	13.3	8.9	8.1	10.7	12.7	12.7	11.1	6.7	10.6	8.5	8.1
Developed	27.0	17.6	4.5	4.3	17.5	10.5	12.0	14.9	17.6	17.6	17.6	9.6	14.5	11.9	10.5
Developing	48.1	21.3	8.1	8.0	20.9	15.2	18.8	16.6	31.1	21.3	21.3	11.5	18.9	17.1	16.7
LDCs	77.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	35.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
World	37.4	18.9	6.0	5.9	18.7	12.4	14.8	15.3	23.3	19.6	18.9	10.3	16.2	13.9	13.1

Source: Authors' calculations, based on the MacMap-HS6 database, CEPII, Paris.

Note: For descriptions of scenarios, see table 4.3.

Table 4.5 Reductions in Base Tariffs for Average Applied Tariffs, by Agricultural Reform Scenario
percentage points

	<i>Scenario</i>														
	<i>Base (%)</i>	<i>(1)</i>	<i>(2)</i>	<i>(3)</i>	<i>(4)</i>	<i>(5)</i>	<i>(6)</i>	<i>(7)</i>	<i>(8)</i>	<i>(9)</i>	<i>(10)</i>	<i>(11)</i>	<i>(12)</i>	<i>(13)</i>	<i>(14)</i>
<i>Country</i>															
Australia	3.0	0.9	0.3	0.2	1.5	0.9	0.4	0.7	0.9	0.9	0.3	0.2	0.8	0.7	0.7
Bangladesh	14.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Canada	9.7	4.4	0.1	0.1	4.1	1.1	1.0	3.0	4.4	4.4	4.7	1.1	3.3	1.7	0.9
China	10.0	2.3	0.9	0.9	2.7	1.4	1.3	1.7	3.2	2.3	1.0	0.8	1.8	1.7	1.3
Japan	34.5	16.6	2.1	2.0	15.0	5.1	8.5	11.8	16.6	16.6	20.4	5.1	13.1	10.6	4.6
Korea, Rep. of	90.1	44.5	12.2	12.2	36.8	13.4	43.0	36.0	60.5	44.5	58.0	12.6	39.2	32.0	13.4
Mexico	9.5	1.5	0.2	0.2	1.8	0.3	0.2	0.8	3.4	1.5	1.0	0.2	1.5	1.3	0.3
Pakistan	30.4	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.1	8.6	0.5	0.1	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.0
India	54.5	4.4	1.7	1.6	5.1	1.8	1.7	3.2	12.9	4.4	3.7	1.7	4.4	4.2	2.0
Turkey	13.9	1.5	0.2	0.2	1.6	0.5	0.4	0.9	3.9	1.5	1.1	0.2	1.3	0.7	0.4
United States	2.7	0.9	0.1	0.1	1.2	0.6	0.3	0.6	0.9	0.9	0.4	0.1	0.8	0.6	0.3
Mercosur	12.8	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.1	0.0	0.1	2.1	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.4	0.1
EFTA	28.6	11.5	0.8	0.7	10.1	6.0	7.1	7.3	11.5	11.5	14.0	7.6	9.4	7.8	6.1
ASEAN	10.9	0.9	0.3	0.3	1.0	0.4	1.2	0.6	2.1	0.9	1.9	0.3	0.7	0.7	0.7
Sub-Saharan LDCs	13.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Other SSA	25.4	2.8	0.6	0.6	2.7	0.8	0.8	1.7	7.6	2.8	2.8	0.8	2.7	2.7	1.8
Maghreb	16.9	2.6	0.8	0.8	2.9	1.0	1.0	1.8	4.6	2.6	2.0	0.8	2.1	1.9	1.4
SACU	12.6	0.7	0.2	0.2	1.0	0.2	0.2	0.5	3.3	0.7	0.5	0.2	0.7	0.4	0.3
EU	11.8	6.1	1.3	1.1	6.5	2.9	2.5	4.9	6.1	6.1	5.3	1.8	4.9	4.0	2.4
Developed	14.1	6.6	0.9	0.9	6.5	2.6	3.1	4.8	6.6	6.6	7.1	2.1	5.3	4.2	2.3
Developing	17.9	4.3	1.3	1.3	4.1	1.6	3.5	3.3	6.9	4.3	4.7	1.3	3.8	3.3	1.7
LDCs	13.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
World	15.8	5.5	1.1	1.0	5.3	2.1	3.2	4.1	6.6	5.5	5.9	1.7	4.5	3.7	2.0

Source: Authors' calculations, based on MacMap-HS6 database, CEPII, Paris.

Note: For descriptions of scenarios, see table 4.3.

Table 4.6 Cross-Product Coefficient of Variation of the Power of MFN Tariffs: Base and Reduction by Agricultural Reform Scenario
percentage points

<i>Country</i>	<i>Base (%)</i>	<i>Scenario</i>													
		<i>(1)</i>	<i>(2)</i>	<i>(3)</i>	<i>(4)</i>	<i>(5)</i>	<i>(6)</i>	<i>(7)</i>	<i>(8)</i>	<i>(9)</i>	<i>(10)</i>	<i>(11)</i>	<i>(12)</i>	<i>(13)</i>	<i>(14)</i>
Australia	1.6	0.7	0.2	0.2	0.9	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.7	0.7	0.4	0.2	0.4	0.3	0.6
Bangladesh	3.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Canada	23.7	15.9	3.1	3.1	14.5	3.7	5.5	13.4	15.9	15.9	17.5	5.9	6.1	3.6	4.7
China	11.0	2.7	1.0	1.0	2.9	1.9	1.8	2.0	4.5	2.7	2.3	1.4	1.5	1.0	1.8
Japan	83.2	51.8	7.9	8.0	42.4	11.6	45.2	42.1	51.8	51.8	70.6	16.8	10.6	5.0	15.4
Korea, Rep. of	45.7	19.5	4.1	4.1	13.9	4.2	21.1	15.4	27.8	19.5	30.8	4.5	10.8	7.5	4.3
Mexico	22.8	10.7	3.2	3.2	9.7	7.4	8.2	8.4	15.7	10.7	11.7	5.0	4.2	4.0	6.1
Pakistan	5.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.3	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0
India	12.3	-0.6	-0.1	-0.1	-0.8	-0.1	-0.1	-0.3	0.8	-0.6	0.1	-0.1	-0.6	-0.7	0.0
Turkey	7.1	1.2	0.1	0.1	1.1	0.2	0.2	0.8	2.4	1.2	1.3	0.1	1.0	0.2	0.2
United States	14.0	8.5	4.0	3.8	8.9	4.8	4.8	7.1	8.5	8.5	7.0	4.9	4.3	2.4	4.8
Mercosur	1.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0
EFTA	22.1	13.9	2.2	2.2	11.8	2.2	10.8	11.5	13.9	13.9	17.8	6.5	3.1	1.3	3.7
ASEAN	9.6	0.8	0.2	0.2	0.7	0.3	2.1	0.5	2.3	0.8	3.9	0.2	0.5	0.5	0.6
Sub-Saharan LDCs	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Other SSA	6.7	1.5	0.4	0.4	1.4	0.4	0.4	1.0	3.4	1.5	1.6	0.4	1.5	1.4	1.0
Maghreb	4.9	1.4	0.4	0.4	1.4	0.5	0.6	1.0	2.5	1.4	1.4	0.4	0.6	0.5	1.0
SACU	4.4	0.4	0.1	0.1	0.4	0.1	0.1	0.3	1.2	0.4	0.4	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.1
EU	36.4	23.2	4.6	4.7	22.0	5.4	5.9	19.5	23.2	23.2	23.4	6.4	11.2	6.5	5.8
Developed	36.2	22.7	4.7	4.7	20.3	5.9	13.4	18.7	22.7	22.7	26.4	7.7	7.9	4.3	7.1
Developing	10.3	3.0	0.8	0.8	2.5	1.2	2.7	2.2	5.1	3.0	4.5	1.1	1.6	1.3	1.4
LDCs	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
World	24.0	13.5	2.9	2.8	12.0	3.7	8.4	11.0	14.4	13.5	16.2	4.6	4.9	2.9	4.4

Source: Authors' calculations, based on MacMap-HS6 database, CEPII, Paris

Note: For descriptions of scenarios, see table 4.3. Figures for regions are computed as the simple average across countries.

Table 4.7 Implications of Alternative Formulas for Market Access, Base Tariffs, and Reductions by Agricultural Reform

Scenario

percentage points

<i>Country</i>	<i>Scenario</i>														
	<i>Base (%)</i>	<i>(1)</i>	<i>(2)</i>	<i>(3)</i>	<i>(4)</i>	<i>(5)</i>	<i>(6)</i>	<i>(7)</i>	<i>(8)</i>	<i>(9)</i>	<i>(10)</i>	<i>(11)</i>	<i>(12)</i>	<i>(13)</i>	<i>(14)</i>
Australia	18.3	8.2	1.6	1.6	7.8	2.6	4.0	6.3	9.0	8.2	9.2	2.4	6.5	5.3	2.3
Bangladesh	5.7	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	1.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1
Canada	9.3	3.3	0.3	0.3	2.9	0.9	0.8	2.0	3.6	3.3	4.2	1.0	3.1	1.9	0.7
China	31.6	14.8	3.4	3.4	12.8	5.5	14.5	11.7	18.8	14.8	19.3	4.6	11.2	10.9	5.1
Japan	10.4	2.7	1.0	0.9	3.4	1.9	1.6	1.9	4.2	2.7	1.4	0.9	2.5	2.3	2.0
Korea, Rep. of	17.0	5.0	1.6	1.2	5.7	4.1	3.4	3.4	5.8	5.0	4.5	2.6	4.6	4.6	4.2
Mexico	4.3	1.2	0.3	0.2	1.2	0.5	0.5	0.8	1.3	1.2	1.2	0.3	1.0	1.0	0.5
Pakistan	12.6	4.1	1.1	0.9	4.0	1.7	2.7	3.3	4.9	4.1	4.3	1.4	3.3	2.8	1.6
India	10.0	2.6	0.6	0.6	2.6	1.1	1.3	2.0	3.3	2.6	2.6	0.7	2.0	1.4	1.1
Turkey	10.2	2.3	0.5	0.4	2.5	1.3	1.6	1.6	3.0	2.3	2.3	0.9	2.2	2.1	1.6
United States	19.8	7.9	1.5	1.4	7.4	2.5	4.9	5.9	9.3	7.9	9.0	2.2	6.8	4.9	2.4
Mercosur	14.6	5.3	1.3	1.2	5.3	2.2	3.0	4.1	6.4	5.3	5.1	1.7	4.9	3.6	2.0
EFTA	13.8	5.1	1.1	1.0	5.8	3.4	2.5	3.8	6.1	5.1	3.9	2.1	4.3	4.0	3.5
ASEAN	19.3	3.9	0.7	0.7	4.0	1.9	2.9	2.9	5.7	3.9	4.3	1.3	3.0	2.7	1.7
Sub-Saharan LDCs	9.5	1.3	0.3	0.3	1.3	0.3	0.4	1.0	1.7	1.3	1.4	0.3	0.5	0.5	0.4
Other SSA	10.3	4.5	0.8	0.8	4.2	0.9	0.9	3.5	4.8	4.5	4.8	0.8	1.7	1.3	1.2
Maghreb	12.9	4.2	0.8	0.8	4.6	1.9	3.4	3.2	4.5	4.2	3.4	2.6	3.9	3.7	1.5
SACU	17.4	5.6	0.9	0.8	5.8	2.6	2.5	4.1	6.4	5.6	5.6	1.9	5.1	3.4	2.4
EU	15.8	4.2	0.8	0.8	4.3	2.2	2.0	2.8	5.3	4.2	4.0	1.8	3.7	3.3	2.3
Developed	16.4	5.8	1.1	1.0	5.6	2.2	3.1	4.2	6.9	5.8	6.3	1.9	5.0	3.9	2.1
Developing	15.3	5.2	1.1	1.1	5.1	2.0	3.3	4.0	6.4	5.2	5.6	1.5	4.1	3.6	1.9
LDCs	11.8	1.5	0.3	0.3	1.4	0.4	0.5	1.1	2.0	1.5	1.6	0.5	0.7	0.6	0.5
World	15.8	5.5	1.1	1.0	5.3	2.1	3.2	4.1	6.6	5.5	5.9	1.7	4.5	3.7	2.0

Source: Authors' calculations, based on MacMap-HS6 database, CEPII, Paris.

Note: For descriptions of scenarios, see table 4.3.

Table 4.8 Implications of Alternative Scenarios for Protection by Commodity: Reductions in Global Average Tariff percentage points

<i>Commodity</i>	<i>Base (%)</i>	<i>Scenario</i>													
		<i>(1)</i>	<i>(2)</i>	<i>(3)</i>	<i>(4)</i>	<i>(5)</i>	<i>(6)</i>	<i>(7)</i>	<i>(8)</i>	<i>(9)</i>	<i>(10)</i>	<i>(11)</i>	<i>(12)</i>	<i>(13)</i>	<i>(14)</i>
Meat	26.1	11.4	1.7	1.7	10.7	3.1	3.4	8.0	12.1	11.4	11.9	3.3	10.6	8.5	3.0
Dairy products	20.3	6.4	0.9	0.9	6.4	3.0	3.3	4.4	7.4	6.4	6.4	4.1	5.0	4.3	2.9
Vegetables	11.2	3.4	0.6	0.6	3.5	2.1	2.3	2.4	4.1	3.4	3.8	2.2	2.3	2.0	1.9
Fruit and nuts	11.7	4.3	0.7	0.7	4.8	1.8	1.4	3.2	4.8	4.3	3.2	0.8	4.3	4.2	1.3
Coffee and tea	3.4	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.6	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.8	0.5	0.3	0.2	0.5	0.4	0.3
Cereals	41.2	19.2	3.3	3.3	16.0	3.6	13.9	14.7	23.2	19.2	25.3	3.7	14.6	11.2	3.6
Oil seeds	12.3	5.5	1.4	1.4	4.6	1.6	5.4	4.5	7.2	5.5	7.1	1.5	5.0	1.9	1.6
Animal or vegetable fats	17.9	2.0	0.6	0.6	2.4	1.3	1.4	1.4	4.5	2.0	1.3	1.1	1.9	1.9	1.1
Meat preparations	12.5	4.3	0.6	0.6	4.8	3.5	3.0	3.0	4.7	4.3	4.2	3.0	4.0	3.9	3.0
Sugars	29.5	13.7	3.0	3.0	13.3	3.7	4.8	11.2	15.0	13.7	14.1	3.5	8.4	7.6	3.6
Preparations of cereals	10.4	1.6	0.3	0.3	1.9	1.7	1.4	1.0	2.0	1.6	1.7	1.7	1.6	1.5	1.3
Processed vegetables	12.0	3.5	0.7	0.7	4.4	3.9	3.2	2.5	4.2	3.5	2.0	1.6	3.4	3.3	3.0
Beverages and spirits	9.4	2.4	1.1	0.8	2.9	2.1	1.1	1.7	3.2	2.4	1.5	0.8	2.3	2.1	2.2
Tobacco	26.3	4.1	1.7	1.2	4.6	2.7	2.9	2.9	6.4	4.1	5.3	1.4	3.7	3.4	4.1
Wool	1.7	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.5	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.1
Cotton	1.4	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0

Source: Authors' calculations, based on MacMap-HS6 database, CEPII, Paris.

Note: For descriptions of scenarios, see table 4.3. Commodities defined at 2-digit level of the Harmonized System.

